

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N		
10/537,012	05/31/2005	Robert C. Brennan	070-006US 2223		
50717 7590 07/26/2007 LEFEVOUR LAW GROUP, LLC			EXAMINER		
4365 LAWN AVE			NICOLAS, FREDERICK C		
SUITE 5 WESTERN SPRINGS, IL 60558			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3754		
			·		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	07/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			H							
		Applicati		Applicant(s)	***					
Office Action Summary		10/537,0	12	BRENNAN ET AL.						
		Examine	•	Art Unit						
		Frederick	C. Nicolas	3754	-					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn of period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF TI of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenuication. atutory period will apply and we will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION on the however, may a reply be to the state of the s	N. imely filed m the mailing date of this co						
Status										
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>31 May 2005</i>								
_		2b)⊠ This action is r	ion-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2-10</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co								
Applicati	on Papers									
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
		·								
Attachmen										
2) Notice 3) Information Paper	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 2/06;5/06/7/06.	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [6] 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date						

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Art Unit: 3754

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claim 1 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,848,480. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 of Patent No. 6,848,480 encompasses all the limitations of the above noted claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. White 4,220,261 discloses other type of dual fluid cartridge.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick C. Nicolas whose telephone number is (571)-272-4931. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver, can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,012

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FN July 22, 2007

Frederick C. Nicolas Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754 Page 4